

DRAFT-DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

EPA/635/R-10/002A
www.epa.gov/iris



TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW OF FORMALDEHYDE - INHALATION ASSESSMENT

(CAS No. 50-00-0)

**In Support of Summary Information on the
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)**

VOLUME I of IV

**Introduction, Background,
and Toxicokinetics**

June 2, 2010

NOTICE

This document is an *External Review draft*. This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre-dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by EPA. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. It is being circulated for review of its technical accuracy and science policy implications.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

1 ~~The extrapolation to humans in terms of using formaldehyde flux to tissue as the dose~~
2 ~~metric is shown in Table 5-24, where unit risk in terms of $q1^*$, the statistical upper bound on the~~
3 ~~coefficient, $q1$, of the term linear in dose in the multistage model, is also presented. $q1^*$ is~~
4 ~~presented even though this is no longer done, as per current EPA practice (see Section 5.3.6 for~~
5 ~~discussion).~~

6 ~~These results are to be compared with the preferred benchmark estimates obtained in~~
7 ~~Table 5-23 by using the results of biologically based models. In summary, the unit risks~~
8 ~~obtained by various methods, including the results in Schlosser et al. (2003), fall within a rather~~
9 ~~tight range. In particular, $q1^*$ was obtained to within a factor of two of other values even though~~
10 ~~$q1$ itself was zero. The general result may be noted here, that even in cases where $q1$ is zero, the~~
11 ~~upper bound $q1^*$ is linear with dose (Subramaniam et al., 2006; Guess et al., 1977). The large~~
12 ~~difference between $q1$ and $q1^*$ aptly reflects the large uncertainty in the low-dose response.~~

13 5.4. CONCLUSIONS FROM THE QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT OF CANCER 14 RISK FROM FORMALDEHYDE EXPOSURE BY INHALATION

15 5.4.1. Inhalation Unit Risk Estimates Based on Human Data

16 As described in Section 5.2, a (plausible upper bound) lifetime extra cancer unit risk of
17 1.1×10^{-2} per ppm (8.8×10^{-6} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) of continuous formaldehyde exposure was estimated
18 for NPC incidence using the log-linear modeling results (for NPC mortality from cumulative
19 exposure) from a high-quality occupational epidemiologic study in a life-table analysis to obtain
20 a POD and then applying linear low-dose extrapolation from the POD. Using similar methods
21 and data from the same study for Hodgkin lymphoma and leukemia mortality from cumulative
22 formaldehyde exposure, (plausible upper bound) lifetime extra cancer risk estimates of
23 1.7×10^{-2} per ppm (1.4×10^{-5} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for Hodgkin lymphoma incidence and
24 5.7×10^{-2} per ppm (4.6×10^{-5} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for leukemia incidence were derived. Sources of
25 uncertainty in these estimates are discussed in sections 5.2.2.4 and 5.2.3.4. For the incidence
26 risk for these three cancer types combined, a total (upper bound) cancer unit risk estimate of
27 8.1×10^{-2} per ppm (6.6×10^{-5} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) was obtained (see Section 5.2.4).

28 29 ~~5.4.2. Inhalation Unit Risk Estimates Based on Rodent Data~~

30 ~~As described in Section 5.3, the unit risk derived for SCC in the upper and lower~~
31 ~~respiratory tract (combined) based on linear extrapolation from PODs from several plausible~~
32 ~~models, including purely statistical modeling (nose only, quantal and time-to-tumor modeling)~~
33 ~~and biologically based modeling (entire respiratory tract), resulted in a narrow range of~~

~~1 1.2 × 10⁻² to 2.2 × 10⁻² per ppm. Risk to the lower respiratory tract was numerically
2 insignificant compared to the nasal cancer risk.~~

3 5.4.3. Summary of Inhalation Unit Risk Estimates

4 The epidemiologic and rodent inhalation data indicate multiple sites of concern. Unit
5 risk estimates calculated separately from these data are presented in Table 5-26.

6 As can be seen in the summary table (see Table 5-26), the unit risk estimate based on
7 human data for NPC is in the range of the estimates calculated for respiratory tract cancer from
8 the rodent nasal cancer data. The unit risk estimate for Hodgkin lymphoma is also in the same
9 range, while the unit risk estimate for leukemia and the total cancer unit risk estimate are up to
10 fourfold higher.

11 As noted in EPA's *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (U.S. EPA, 2005a), when
12 high-quality human data are available, they are generally preferred over laboratory animal data
13 for quantitative risk assessment. Thus, the preferred (plausible upper bound) unit risk estimate in
14 this assessment is the value of 8.1×10^{-2} per ppm (6.6×10^{-5} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) based on human data
15 for NPC, Hodgkin lymphoma, and leukemia.

16 As documented in Section 4.5, formaldehyde is a mutagenic carcinogen and the weight of
17 evidence supports the conclusion that formaldehyde carcinogenicity can be attributed, at least in
18 part, to a mutagenic MOA. Therefore, since there are no adequate chemical-specific data to
19 evaluate the susceptibilities of different life stages by the inhalation route of exposure, increased
20 early-life susceptibility should be assumed, and, if there is early-life exposure, the ADAFs
21 should be applied, in accordance with EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing*
22 *Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b). See Section 5.4.4
23 below for more details on the application of the ADAFs.

24 The inhalation unit risk estimates presented above, which are calculated based on a linear
25 extrapolation from the POD (95% lower confidence bound on the EC), are expected to provide
26 upper bounds on the risk of cancer incidence. However, for certain applications, such as benefit-
27 cost analyses, estimates of "central tendency" for the risk below the POD are desired. Extra risk
28 estimates per ppm based on linear extrapolation from the EC (e.g., 0.005/EC₀₀₅) for the cancer
29 responses based on the human data are reported in Table 5-27. Note that these extrapolated risk
30 estimates are not central tendency estimates in any statistical sense because once risk is linearly
31 extrapolated below the EC, it is no longer a function of the original (Cox regression) model
32 which generated the ECs and the LECs. These estimates are dependent on the suitability of the
33 EC estimates as well as on the applicability of the linear low-dose extrapolation. The
34 assumption of low-dose linearity is supported by the mutagenicity of formaldehyde (see Section

1 4.5.3). [If

2 **Table 5-26. Summary of inhalation unit risk estimates**

3

Cancer type ^a	Dose metric	Unit risk estimate (ppm ⁻¹)
<i>Based on epidemiologic data</i>		
Nasopharyngeal	Cumulative exposure	0.011
Hodgkin lymphoma	Cumulative exposure	0.017
Leukemia	Cumulative exposure	0.057
Total cancer risk ^b	Cumulative exposure	0.081
<i>Based on experimental animal data</i>		
SCC of the respiratory tract	Local dose (flux) of formaldehyde in pmol/mm ² -hour	0.011–0.022

4
5 ^aThe unit risk estimates are all for cancer incidence.

6 ^bThe total cancer unit risk estimate is an estimate of the upper bound on the sum of risk estimates calculated
7 for the 3 individual cancer types (nasopharyngeal cancer, Hodgkin lymphoma, and leukemia); it is not
8 the sum of the individual (upper bound) unit risk estimates (see Section 5.2.4).

9
10 these estimates were to be used for benefit-cost analyses or some other purpose, ADAFs should
11 be applied, as appropriate, in accordance with EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing*
12 *Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b), as discussed above
13 and in Section 5.4.4.]

14
15 **5.4.4. Application of Age-Dependent Adjustment Factors (ADAFs)**

16 ~~When there is sufficient weight of evidence to conclude that a mutagenic MOA is~~
17 ~~operative in a chemical's carcinogenicity and there are inadequate chemical-specific data to~~
18 ~~assess age-specific susceptibility, as is the case for formaldehyde (by inhalation exposure; see~~
19 ~~Section 5.4.3), EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life*~~
20 ~~*Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b) recommends the application of default ADAFs to~~
21 ~~adjust for potential increased susceptibility from early-life exposure (see U.S. EPA [2005b] for~~
22 ~~detailed information on the general application of these adjustment factors). In brief, EPA~~
23 ~~(2005b) establishes ADAFs for three specific age groups: 10 (for <2 years), 3 (for 2 to~~
24 ~~<16 years), and 1 (for 16 years and above). For risk assessments based on specific exposure~~
25 ~~assessments, the 10-fold and threefold adjustments to the unit risk estimates are to be~~

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

1 ~~exposure level of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ from ages 0–70 years~~

2

Age group	ADAF	Unit risk (per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Exposure concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Duration adjustment	Partial risk
0 to < 2 years	10	6.6×10^{-5}	1	2 years/70 years	1.9×10^{-5}
2 to < 16 years	3	6.6×10^{-5}	1	14 years/70 years	4.0×10^{-5}
≥ 16 years	1	6.6×10^{-5}	1	54 years/70 years	5.1×10^{-5}
Total risk =					1.1×10^{-4}

3
4 (Note that the partial risk for each age group is the product of the values in columns 2–5 [e.g.,
5 $10 \times (6.6 \times 10^{-5}) \times 1 \times 2/70 = 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$], and the total risk is the sum of the partial risks.)

6
7
8 the ADAFs is to be combined with age-specific exposure estimates when estimating cancer risks
9 from early-life (<16 years age) exposure. Further example calculations can be found in EPA's
10 *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens*
11 (U.S. EPA, 2005b).

12 In addition to the uncertainties discussed above for the inhalation unit risk estimate, there
13 are uncertainties in the application of ADAFs to adjust for potential increased early-life
14 susceptibility. The ADAFs are general default factors, and it is uncertain to what extent they
15 reflect increased early-life susceptibility for exposure to formaldehyde, if, in fact, early-life
16 susceptibility is increased as assumed. To some extent, the unit risk estimates for Hodgkin
17 lymphoma and leukemia already reflect some partial increased risk from early-life exposure
18 because the life-table programs include background rates for childhood cancers. However, the
19 impact of this partial increased risk is negligible compared to the effect of the ADAFs on the
20 final risk estimate. For example, eliminating the background rates up to age 16 from the life-
21 table programs decreases the lifetime extra risks at the PODs by about 0.5% for leukemia and
22 about 1.2% for Hodgkin lymphoma. The ADAFs, on the other hand, increased the lifetime unit
23 risk estimate by about 66%.

24
25 **5.4.5. Conclusions: Cancer Inhalation Unit Risk Estimates**

26 As presented in Section 5.4.3, the preferred (plausible upper bound) cancer unit risk
27 estimate for formaldehyde exposure in this assessment is the total cancer risk estimate of
28 **8.1×10^{-2} per ppm (6.6×10^{-5} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) based on (adult) human data for NPC, Hodgkin**
29 **lymphoma, and leukemia.**

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

1 In addition, as described in Section 5.4.4, because the weight of evidence supports the
2 conclusion that formaldehyde carcinogenicity can be attributed, at least in part, to a mutagenic
3 MOA and there are inadequate chemical-specific data to assess age-specific susceptibility,
4 increased early-life susceptibility should be assumed and, if there is early-life exposure, ADAFs
5 should be applied, in accordance with EPA's *Supplemental Guidance for Assessing*
6 *Susceptibility from Early-Life Exposure to Carcinogens* (U.S. EPA, 2005b). Consequently,
7 applying the ADAFs to the preferred unit risk estimate to obtain a **full lifetime unit risk**
8 **estimate** yields

$$\begin{aligned} &0.081/\text{ppm} \times [(10 \times 2 \text{ years}/70 \text{ years}) + (3 \times 14/70) + (1 \times 54/70)] \\ &= \mathbf{0.13/\text{ppm}} = \mathbf{1.1 \times 10^{-4}/(\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3)} \end{aligned}$$

13 Using the above full lifetime unit risk estimate of 0.13 per ppm, the lifetime chronic
14 exposure level of formaldehyde corresponding to an increased cancer risk of 10^{-6} can be
15 estimated as follows: $(10^{-6})/(0.13/\text{ppm}) = 7.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ppm} = 0.008 \text{ ppb} = 0.009 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Similarly,
16 the lifetime chronic exposure level of formaldehyde corresponding to an increased cancer risk of
17 10^{-4} is 0.8 ppb, or $0.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. (Note that for less-than-lifetime exposures scenarios [or for
18 exposures that vary with age], the adult-based combined estimate of 0.081 per ppm should be
19 used, but if there is early-life exposure, the ADAFs should be applied in accordance with EPA's
20 *Supplemental Guidance* [see Section 5.4.4]).